RECEIVED

NO 478 KESCHARTOGEF of Detention Pending Trial

AUG - 8 2006 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
ROSERI	H. SHEMWEL Western	District of	Louisiana
WESTERN	UNITED STATES OF A	MERICA	· ·
	V.	ORDER (OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	NATHANIEL D. SM	MITH Case Number:	2:06CR20012-01
	Defendanı		
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.			
Part I—Findings of Fact			
	(1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a federal offense state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.		
an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in			
a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C.			
§ 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.			
 (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment for the offense described in finding (1). 			
(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.		
Alternative Findings (A)			
(i)		eve that the defendant has committed an offense a of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed	in
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted	the presumption established by finding 1 that no conduct as required and the safety of the community.	lition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure
Alternative Findings (B)			
) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.		
Part II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention			
I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by X clear and convincing evidence \square a preponderance of the evidence that			
no combination of conditions, short of detention, will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required and the safety of others. This			
conclusion is based primarily on the following: (1) the nature afalor changes and the potential penalties; (2) defendant's history including multiple recent failures to appear; (3) defendant's lack of substantial ties to this district; and (4) defendant's chronic substance abuse.			
	ance different and	eft thurn to anoid everes	,
Part III-Directions Regarding Detention			
The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a			
reasonab Governm	le opportunity for private consi- nent, the person in charge of the	ultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of	f the United States or on request of an attorney for the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance
in connection with a court proceeding.			
August 8, 2006 Date Signature of Judicial Officer			
Ajonzo P. Wilson, U.S. Magistrate Judge			
			Title of Judicial Officer

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).